Near Verbatim Versus Summary Minutes

{ Considered During Regular Meeting of 2019-02/28 }

The Proposed Change IS:

Break with the sixteen (16) year historical precedent (2003 thru 2018) of providing "Near Verbatim" Transcriptions of Meeting Minutes for current & future reference in favor of "Summary" Minutes which eliminate individual statements & quotations.

The Question Then Posed IS:

What does the <u>Harmony District</u> gain; how do <u>Harmony Communities</u> benefit; what do <u>Harmony Residents</u> gain, from the abandonment of "*Near Verbatim*" Minutes?

The Question Posed IS NOT:

What does the Harmony <u>Board</u> gain; how do individual <u>Supervisors</u> benefit; what do <u>Employees</u> of the District gain, through the adoption of "*Summary*" Minutes?

My Answers & Opinions ARE:

This topic results from paranoid fear by individual Board Members of the <u>possibility</u> of being misquoted &/or accurately quoted and then called-out on a misstatement when someone (Resident or otherwise) reviews fully transcribed (quoted) Minutes.

Citing "Discovery", which is a Legal term, as a potential concern is a smokescreen (Faux News) thrown in to confuse the issue. If that is ever a <u>real</u> concern, there is an available remedy – it is called a "Closed Session" of the Board – to discuss any matters that have Legal ramifications to the Board, the District, or to Residents.

Accordingly, consideration of the radical change to "Summary" Minutes should be rejected & "Near Verbatim" Minutes Transcription should continue to be provided.

This Position Is Summarized By:

If you say or do something, whether right or wrong,
Man-up and Own It!

