~ Position Statement ~ "Near Verbatim" versus "Summary" Minutes

The Proposed Change IS:

Break with the sixteen (16) year historical precedent (2003 thru 2018) of providing "*Near Verbatim*" Transcriptions of Meeting Minutes for current & future reference in favor of "*Summary*" Minutes which eliminate individual statements & guotations.

The Question Now Posed IS:

What does the Harmony <u>District</u> gain; how do Harmony <u>Communities</u> benefit; what do Harmony <u>Residents</u> gain, from the <u>abandonment</u> of "*Near Verbatim*" Minutes?

The Question Posed IS NOT:

What does the Harmony <u>Board</u> gain; how do individual <u>Supervisors</u> benefit; what do <u>Employees</u> of the District gain, through the <u>adoption</u> of "Summary" Minutes?

My Answers & Opinions ARE:

This topic results from <u>paranoid fear</u> by individual Board Members of the <u>possibility</u> of being misquoted &/or accurately quoted and then <u>called-out</u> on a misstatement when someone (Resident or otherwise) reviews fully transcribed (quoted) Minutes.

Citing "Discovery", which is a Legal term, as a potential concern is a smokescreen (Faux News) thrown in to confuse the issue. If that is ever a <u>real</u> concern, there is an available <u>remedy</u> – it is called a "Closed Session" of the Board – to discuss any matters that have Legal ramifications to the Board, the District, or to Residents.

Accordingly, consideration of the radical change to "Summary" Minutes should be rejected & "Near Verbatim" Minute Transcriptions should continue to be provided.

In Summary, My Position Is:

If you say or do something, whether right or wrong, Man-up and Own It!

David Farnsworth